United States Department of Agriculture.

SERVICE AND REGULATORY ANNOUNCEMENTS.

BUREAU OF CHEMISTRY.

SUPPLEMENT.

N. J. 11801-11850.

[Approved by the Acting Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C., February 13, 1924.

NOTICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FOOD AND DRUGS ACT.

[Given pursuant to section 4 of the Food and Drugs Act.]

11801. Misbranding of Nervosex tablets. U. S. v. 4 Boxes and 11 Boxes of Nervosex Tablets. Default decrees of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. Nos. 15122, 15271. S. Nos. C-3108, C-3138.)

On July 11 and 26, 1921, respectively, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Texas, acting upon reports by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district libels praying the seizure and condemnation of 15 boxes of Nervosex tablets, remaining in the original packages, in part at Clarendon and in part at Amarillo, Tex., alleging that the article had been shipped by the United Laboratories, from St. Louis, Mo., in two consignments, namely, on or about July 23 and 29, 1920, respectively, and transported from the State of Missouri into the State of Texas, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, as amended. The article was labeled in part: (Box) "Nervosex Tablets * * * A compound of Nerve and Muscle Stimulants for Low Vitality, Lack of Energy, Sexual Weakness."

Analyses of samples of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that the tablets contained essentially extract of plant material, including nux vomica, and compounds of iron, calcium, zinc, and phosphorus.

· Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libels for the reason that the above-quoted statements appearing in the labeling were false and fraudulent, since the said article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the therapeutic effects claimed.

On November 2, 1922, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgments of condemnation and forfeiture were entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

HOWARD M. GORE, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

11802. Adulteration of shell eggs. U. S. v. Gray B. Emerson and William B. Emerson (Emerson Bros.). Pleas of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. No. 15852. I. S. No. 9306-t.)

On March 16, 1922, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of North Carolina, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Gray B. Emerson and William B. Emerson, copartners, trading as Emerson Bros., Bear Creek, N. C., alleging shipment by said defendants, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about August 17 and 18, 1921, respectively, from the State of North Carolina into the State of South Carolina, of quantities of shell eggs which were adulterated. The article was labeled in part: "From Emerson Brothers Wholesale Dealers In Chickens And Eggs Bear Creek, N. C."

Examination by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department of the 1,440 eggs in the consignment showed that 115, or 7.98 per cent of the total, were inedible eggs, consisting of black rots, mixed or white rots, spot rots, and heavy blood rings.

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the information for the reason that ft consisted in whole or in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal substance.

On September 4, 1923, the case having come on for final disposition before the court and a jury, upon pleas of technical guilt by the defendants, the jury returned a verdict of guilty, and judgment of the court was entered ordering that the Government recover from the defendants \$25 in lieu of penalty and costs.

HOWARD M. GORE, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

11803. Adulteration of canned sardines. U. S. v. S50 Cases of Stag Brand Sardines. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 16594. I. S. No. 18263-t. S. No. C-3689.)

On July 8, 1922, the United States attorney for the Western District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 850 cases of Stag brand sardines at San Antonio, Tex., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Ramsdell Packing Co., of Lubec, Me., from New York, N. Y., on or about March 25, 1922, and transported from the State of New York into the State of Texas, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: "Stag Brand * * American Sardines In Cottonseed Oil Packed By Ramsdell Packing Co. Lubec * * * Maine."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that it consisted in part of a decomposed animal substance.

On December 19, 1922, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

HOWARD M. GORE, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

11804. Adulteration of canned sausage. U. S. v. 80 Cases of Vienna Sausage. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction with respect to 21 cases of the product. Decree entered ordering release of remainder under bond. (F. & D. No. 16165. I. S. No. 2849-t. S. No. C-3579.)

On April 26, 1922, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Alabama, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and condemnation of 80 cases of Vienna sausage, remaining unsold in the original unbroken packages at Mobile, Ala., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Independent Packing Co., from Chicago, Ill., March 10, 1922, and transported from the State of Illinois into the State of Alabama, and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The article was labeled in part: "Independent Packing Co's. Extra Brand Vienna Sausage Prepared From Meat Passed For Sterilization Made In Chicago, U. S. A."

It was alleged in substance in the libel that the product violated the said act in that it consisted wholly or in part of an adulterated, filthy, decomposed, and putrid animal substance.

On May 20, 1922, no claimant having appeared for the property, and 21 cases of the product having become so spoiled as to be no longer safely stored, judgment of the court was entered ordering that the said 21 cases be destroyed by the United States marshal. During March, 1923, the Independent Packing Co., Chicago, Ill., appeared as claimant for the remainder of the product, and filed a bond in the sum of \$400 providing for the release of the product, conditioned that it be not sold or disposed of. On April 9, 1923, an order of the court was entered directing that the product be released to the said claimant.

HOWARD M. GORE, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

11805. Misbranding of Orange Blossom female suppositories. U. S. v. 124 Boxes of Orange Blossom Female Suppositories. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 16666. S. No. C-3723.)

On July 31, 1922, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Iowa, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying the seizure and